REDACTED VERSION

From: Coltrain, Katrina

To: <u>Todd Downham; Turner, Philip</u>

Subject: Updated draft letter content for residential data results

Date: Tuesday, February 14, 2017 10:52:00 AM

Attachments: (b) (6) .docx

(b) (6) .docx b) (6) docx image001.pnq church.docx

Please see an updated example draft for GW results. The table showing the results will be attached.

I went ahead and included the other letters too; however, I think these will need more discussion on how to present the data. Tables showing the data will be included as attachments.

Katrina Higgins-Coltrain Remedial Project Manager US EPA Region 6 LA/OK/NM Section (6SF-RL) 1445 Ross Avenue Dallas, Texas 75202 214-665-8143

From: Coltrain, Katrina

Sent: Wednesday, February 01, 2017 8:29 AM

To: Todd Downham <todd.downham@deq.ok.gov>; Turner, Philip <Turner.Philip@epa.gov>; Patrick Appel (pappel@eaest.com) <pappel@eaest.com>

Subject: draft letter content for residential data results

All, please find attached draft language that will be included in letters to residents. Please send any feedback been going round and round with appropriate way to organize and report. Depending on the nature of the sampling, some or all of the language may be included. For example, a property that has passive gas, ground water, and vapor intrusion data would have all language whereas a property with only ground water data would have ground water language.

All GW wells have data below MCLs, ALs, and/or RSL. The language would be the same for all residents.

GW-10 – LNAPL well language is specific only to this well.

Indoor air language is similar. Concentrations just exceed the RSLs. Used language similar to that in the soil letters—RSL, not a trigger, not unacceptable in short-term, further evaluation in HHRA.

Passive Gas for around Tank 5: language dependent on whether we think additional soil gas sampling is needed.

Question: should we consider sub-slab soil gas based on the results of F6 (red arrow)? Naphthalene (39ng) and 2-methylnaphthalene (68ng). A general conversion is ng to mg/m3. So if the screening numbers for naphthalene are 0.083 ug/m3 (10-6) and 3.1 ug/m3 (HI=1), then we should look at soil gas? The only other location that had hits is H4 (blue arrow) and its approximately 100 feet north (concentrations much higher). Grid is 50x50ft.



Katrina Higgins-Coltrain Remedial Project Manager US EPA Region 6 LA/OK/NM Section (6SF-RL) 1445 Ross Avenue Dallas, Texas 75202 214-665-8143